

## United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

PPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/777,786	02/06/2001	James E. DeGrange JR.	349	4316
2292 75	7590 10/06/2003		EXAMINER	
BIRCH STEW	ART KOLASCH & E	PAYNE, DAVID C		
PO BOX 747 FALLS CHURCH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
	,		2633	. 5
			DATE MAILED: 10/06/2003	3

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)				
	09/777,786	DEGRANGE ET AL.				
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit				
	David C. Payne	2633				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply						
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.  - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.  - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period w  - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute,  - Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).  Status	i6(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tir within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) day ill apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from cause the application to become ABANDONE	nely filed  s will be considered timely. the mailing date of this communication. C) (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on <u>06 F</u>	<u>ebruary 2001</u> .					
2a) ☐ This action is <b>FINAL</b> . 2b) ☑ Thi	s action is non-final.					
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.  Disposition of Claims						
4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-23 is/are pending in the application.						
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration.						
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.						
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-23</u> is/are rejected.						
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.						
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.						
Application Papers						
9)☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.						
10)⊠ The drawing(s) filed on <u>2001 February 6</u> is/are: a)⊠ accepted or b)⊡ objected to by the Examiner.						
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).						
11)☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on is: a)☐ approved b)☐ disapproved by the Examiner.						
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.						
12)☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.						
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120						
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).						
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:						
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.						
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No						
<ul> <li>3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).</li> <li>* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.</li> </ul>						
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).						
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language pro- 15)☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domesti	• •					
Attachment(s)						
<ol> <li>Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)</li> <li>Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)</li> <li>Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) <u>2</u>.</li> </ol>	5) Notice of Informal	y (PTO-413) Paper No(s) Patent Application (PTO-152)				

Art Unit: 2633

## **DETAILED ACTION**

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

1. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

- (e) the invention was described in (1) an application for patent, published under section 122(b), by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent or (2) a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention by the applicant for patent, except that an international application filed under the treaty defined in section 351(a) shall have the effects for purposes of this subsection of an application filed in the United States only if the international application designated the United States and was published under Article 21(2) of such treaty in the English language.
- Claim(s) 1, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 10, 14, 17, 18, 19 and 21 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Terahara US006535309B1 (Terahara).

Re claim 1, 5, 8, 10, 14, 19, 21

Terahara disclosed

An optical communications apparatus/method (Figure 1) for power balancing a wavelength division multiplexed (WDM) signal output from an add module (10) adding at least one channel to a signal input thereto, comprising: a gain element (26) optically coupled to the add module and to an add channel port receiving at least channel to be added; said gain element imparting optical gain to the at least one channel received from the add channel port; a controller (25) operatively coupled to said gain element, said controller receiving an input power measurement of the signal input to the add module; said controller determining an add path amplification value based on the input power measurement (e.g., col./line: 7/28-45), a through loss associated with a signal passing through the add module (Lt), and an add loss associated with a signal traveling an add path of the add module (Ld) (see, col./line: 8/35-47); and said controller controlling said gain element according to the add path amplification value.

Art Unit: 2633

Re claim 4,

Terahara disclosed an optical communications apparatus for power balancing a wavelength division multiplexed (WDM) signal further comprising: a coupler (23) optically coupled to an input of the add module, an optical-to-electrical converter optically coupled to said coupler, said optical to-electrical (photoelectric conversion, see col./line: 7/30-35) coupler receiving a portion of light from the input signal input to the add module; said controller determining the input power measurement from an output of said optical-to-electrical converter.

Re claim 6, 17

said gain element having a gain profile substantially matching a gain profile of a signal input to the add module. (e.g., col./line: 8/60-65).

Re claim 7, 18

an input amplifier optically coupled an input port of the add module and receiving a plurality of input channels (see Figure 5).

## Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 3. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
  - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.
- 4. Claim(s) 2, 9, 11, 12, 13, 15, 20, 22 and 23 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Terahara US006535309B1 (Terahara).

Re claim 2, 15

Terahara does not disclose determining the add path amplification value based on the number of channels to be added. However it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the

Application/Control Number: 09/777,786 Page 4

Art Unit: 2633

time of invention that the amplification value would be based on the number of channels since Terahara disclosed adding more than one channel and his invention is designed to regulate power (e.g., col./line: 7/25-40) therefore regulation would necessarily account for the entire number of added channels.

Re claim 9, 22

Terahara does not disclose output amplifier performing gain flattening amplification for the signal output from the add module. However it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention that the regulation function of the controller disclosed in Terahara flattens the gain amplification of the added signal for the benefit of "regulating" or producing a composite signal at the output where the wavelengths have equivalent signal output that does not interfere with the other signal reception at the receiver.

Re claim 11, 12, 20

Terahara does not disclose wherein said gain element includes an add amplifier and a variable optical attenuator, said controller controlling said variable optical attenuator according to the add path amplification value. However, Terahara does disclose either and amplifier or VOA as an embodiment of the power regulation element (26, see col./line: 7/30-40). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention that both of these elements could be used at once in the Terahara invention for the benefit of amplifying or attenuating individual add signals as needed. Where some signals may require attenuation rather than amplifying all signals and possibly causing problems in downstream receivers.

Re claim 13, 23

Terahara does not disclose wherein the add module is an add/drop module not dropping any channels. However, However it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of invention that the OADM circuit in Terahara might not drop signals for the benefit of

Art Unit: 2633

obtaining a node that only feeds a network and would not require any terminating signals and

Page 5

therefore the loss associated with the coupled components.

5. Claim(s) 3 and 16 is/are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Terahara

US006535309B1 (Terahara) in view of Xiao et al. US 20020101636A1 (Xiao).

Re claim 3, 16

Terahara does not disclose controlling the amplification equation exactly as disclosed, namely

P.sub.addtotal=P.sub.in+(Add Loss-Through Loss)+10 Log N.sub.add where P.sub.addtotal=add

path amplified power level in dBm, P.sub.in=per channel power level of signal input to the add

module in dBm, Through Loss=loss associated with a signal passing through the add module in

dBm, Add Loss=loss associated with a signal travelling an add path of the add module in dBm,

and N.sub.add=number of added channels.

However, Xiao disclosed controlling a variable attenuation of add channels based on the following

equations

 $P_{add,out} = P_{add} - L = 10 \log (y\%) - L4$ , where  $P_{add,out}$  is the power of the added channel, L is the loss

introduced the VOA and y is the power taken by the tap (eg. P.4, (eq. 3). Further equations in Xiao

taken into account power loss of through signals expressed a P<sub>express</sub> (eq. 2, p.3). While Xiao does

not use the same mathematical expression as the applicant it would have been obvious to one of

ordinary skill in the art at the time to calculate amplification of power in the Terahara invention

similar to the Xiao invention to completely account for losses in all elements of the add/drop

element.

Conclusion

Art Unit: 2633

6. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to David C. Payne whose telephone number is (703) 306-0004. The examiner can normally be reached on M-F, 7a-4p.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor,

Jason Chan can be reached on (703) 305-4729. The fax phone number for the organization where this
application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 305-3900.

Dcp

JASON CHAN
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600